

September 26, 2001

A great deal of substantial research has been conducted on The Beatty Project 2000 Lineage 1 and Lineage 3. Many have contributed to the development of these lineages as two of the best documented lineages in the Project.

The following discussion shows the probable connection of Lineages 1 and 3. This Conclusion has been possible due to the recent research and analytical thinking of Donna Van Zandt.

We invite all who read the following to forward data, questions, arguments, etc. Analyzing from various viewpoints has always been a strength of our research and hopefully will continue to help develop our lineages.

Please forward any comments to:
Ray Beatty
beatynyc@msn.com

THE ORIGINS OF BP2000 LINEAGE 003

Raymond C. Beaty, Ph.D.
September 26, 2001

In 1996 when the archiving of Beatty lineages began, Burke's Peerage 1939 edition of their **American Families With British Ancestry**¹ was consulted since it included two Beatty lineages. One was what is now our Lineage 5. The other contained a combination of our Lineage 1 and Lineage 3 with additional data unrelated to either one. Due to the errors in the second listing we separated the lineages and archived them as Lineage 1 and Lineage 3. (See Appendix A for of Burke's 1939 listing)

A. Summary of the 1996 analysis of Burke's 1939 listing.

From the excellent documentation that exists for our L1 early generations, our analysis showed that the listing of Burke's second generation John Beatty, b. in Ireland 1667-8 was incorrect as John and Susannah did not marry until 1691. Furthermore, John and Susannah's son, John, was born in 1702, married Henrietta Biggs, migrated to Frederick County, Maryland, had no known son named William, and died there with his estate being administered in 1761 by his widow Henrietta and her second husband, Jacob Barton.² In addition, the well documented L1 showed that the third generation, William Beatty, born 1695-96, was definitely not the William Beatty, son of John and Susannah Lineage 1. L1 William, like his brother John is well documented in Frederick County, Maryland with spouse and children different from Burke's 1939 listing.

The fourth generation listed in Burke's plus the additional descendant generations are our documented L3 Beattys. Also in 1996 we found the 1749 Chester County PA will of Martha McCollock (wife of William McCollock in Burke's 1939 listing) who mentions her son-in-law William Betty and names two of his children, George and Martha. She stated in her will that they were living in Virginia. Although we were never able to prove that the George mentioned in the 1749 will was the George of our L3, first documented from a 1782 tax list in Hampshire County, Virginia (now WV), we accepted the Burke's listing information of William being George's father and noted the need for further proof.

In an attempt to verify Burke's information that George served in the French and Indian War and the Revolution, we found record of a George Beatty in Frederick County who served in the French and Indian War. However, upon further analysis we concluded that he was the George of L1 born 1732, married Ann Brink, and had two daughters, Elsjje/Elsjen and Bata/Batah by the late 1750s when he disappeared from Frederick Co MD records. At the time this seemed to close the door on any connection between L1 and our L3 George who was in Hampshire Co VA in 1782 with no children named Elsjje or Bata. We concluded that the submitter of the information to Burke's, probably Hugh

¹ **Burke's Genealogical and Heraldic History of the Landed Gentry: American Families With British Ancestry.** Baltimore: Genealogical Publishing Company, 1996.

² All proven with primary documentation.

Gibson Beatty, had incorrectly listed George's ancestry and that, perhaps, like several others, enthusiastically but erroneously linked their Beatty lineage to Lineage 1.

B. Research since 1996

Since 1996 the research of several L3 genealogists failed to find any further documentation of L3 George's ancestry. The research then centered on proving George's children and grandchildren. By the late 1990s research primarily by Helen Pilcher Hall proved several of the children of George Beatty in Hampshire County -- Alsha, Robert, John, Isaac, Rebecca Jane, Mary Ann, and Charles. Helen proved these children by locating estate settlement papers where each of the children sold their 9th share of George's estate.

Levi, who died before George, was also considered to be a child since George was listed as heir-at-law in Levi's estate administration. Secondary sources also listed Henry as a child of George, although no documentation was found like that for the children above. Henry is documented being in Hampshire County including one record where he was accused of fathering a "bastard child" by a sister of his brother Charles' wife. Records of Henry and other L3 Beattys are later found in Pickering County, Ohio.

By 2000, we were missing documentation on two 9th part heirs of George's estate. Later in 2000, Donna Van Zandt found in Kentucky records where Noah Williams and his wife, Bautty, sold Bautty's share of her father's, George Beatty, estate in Hampshire County Virginia. Donna, with her interest and knowledge of many Beatty lineages and her knowledge of the NY Dutch from her Van Zandt research thought of Bata Middagh in our Lineage 1. In subsequent communications Donna and I discussed Bata's granddaughters, Elsje and Bata, daughters of George Beatty b. 1732.

Verifying that we had no further information on L1 George, we started analyzing the Hampshire County records more closely. Looking at the 1782 and 1784 tax lists where our L3 George and his son Robert are listed, we found several surnames that were common in Ulster County NY and Frederick County MD L1 areas. More convincing, we found that listed near George L3 in the 1782 tax list is a Peter Haff. A Peter Haff married Susannah Beatty, a sister of L1 George. Also in Hampshire County in the 1782-1784 tax years were Cornelius, Lawrence and Richard, brothers of Peter. A fourth brother, Abraham, who remained in Frederick County was married to Jane Beatty, another sister of L1 George. I had the documentation and ancestry of the Haffs since Lawrence, brother of Peter, Cornelius, Richard, and Abraham is a 5th great grandfather of mine in my mother's ancestry.

Also in Hampshire county at that time were Kuykendalls, Bealls, Kimbols, Vanmeters, Chenowiths, and others – families who intermingled with the L1 Beattys either in Ulster Co NY and/or Frederick Co Maryland.

C. Current Conclusions

Based upon the preceding analysis, it appears that the L1 George b. 1732 is the same individual as the L3 George living in Hampshire County Virginia in 1782. Major points in carefully drawing this conclusion are:

1. No information has been found on L1 George, his wife Ann Brink, and daughters Elsje b-1752 and Bata b-1754, after the late 1750s in Frederick County Maryland. Unlike most L1 Beattys of the period, we have no further information on them.

2. No primary documentation of Hampshire County L3 George's ancestry had been found prior to the research described above.
3. The Haff family that L1 George's sisters married into are neighbors of L3 George in the 1782 and 1784 Heads of Families – Virginia Tax Lists. Related Kuykendall families of L1 George are also near L3 George in those lists. A Beatty 2nd cousin of George, David Beatty born 1780 son of Col. William Jr. Beatty of Frederick Co MD settled in the early 1800s in Moorefield VA a few miles south of where George lived.
4. Phonetic similarity of Elsje/Alsha and Bata/Bautty as well as realizing that spelling at the time was often as the writer "heard" things pronounced supports the belief that Elsje and Alsha and Bata and Bautty were the same individuals. Archivists at The Holland Society in NYC informed me that Elsje was probably pronounced El-sh-uh and sometimes was anglicized as Alisha/Alice/Elsie. Alsha does not seem to be a "stretch" here. Bata, a common name in the NY Dutch culture does not appear to have a common anglicized version, simply dropping out of use in later generations.
5. With Alsha and Bautty being the two oldest, b. 1752 and 1754 respectively, followed by Robert, John, Charles, etc. the L1 and L3 versions of the family "fit." Also, L1 George's father was a Robert, his grandfather was a John, and an uncle was a Charles. Henry was also a common L1 name in the 1700s and L1 George, specifically, had an uncle named Henry.

There are several additional research questions noted below in Appendix E, but none would seem to distract from our above conclusion that L3 is, indeed, a branch of L1.

.....

APPENDIX A

ANALYSIS OF BURKE'S PEERAGE 1939 PUBLICATION

Burke's Genealogical and Heraldic History of the Landed Gentry, including American families with British Ancestry, founded 1837 by Sir Bernard Burke. Illustrated with heraldic colour plates. London: Burke's Peerage, Ltd. 1939.

The American lineages section was reprinted as:

Burke's Genealogical and Heraldic History of the Landed Gentry: American Families With British Ancestry. Baltimore: Genealogical Publishing Company, 1996.

pg. 2555: "Hugh Gibson BEATTY, of Columbus, Ohio, Professor of Oto-Laryngology, Ohio State University, Member, Society of Colonial Wars, and Sons of American Revolution, b. 12 Sept. 1880; educ. Washington C. H., Ohio, Ohio State Univ., College of Pharmacy, Ph.C. (1904), College of Medicine, M.D. (1910).

Lineage-John BEATTY left Ayrshire, Scotland, and, travelling via Ireland and Holland, reached America and settled at Marbletown, Ulster Co., N. Y., ca. 1691. He m. Susannah Asfordby, by whom he had issue,

John BEATTY, b. in Ireland ca. 1667-8; resided in Chester Co., Penna.; m. 1st, in Ireland, Susanna _____, who d. 24 July, 1719; 2ndly, Henrietta, who survived him. He d. 17 Mar. 1746, leaving a son,

William BEATTY, b. ca 1695-96; m. ca 1728, Martha, dau. of William McCollock, and d. 1757. His son,

George BEATTY, who saw service in the French and Indian Wars, and the American Revolution, b. 1735; m. 1755, Ann Cullins, of Maryland, and d. 1810, leaving issue,

Charles BEATTY, b. 1765; m. 3 April, 1793, Eleanor, dau. of James Barkilow, of New Jersey, and d. 1850, being the father of

James BEATTY, b. 1793; m. 25 May, 1820, Margaret, dau. of Andrew Gibson, of Pennsylvania, and d. 1858, leaving issue,

James Gibson BEATTY, b. 1828; m. 6 April 1852, Airy Caroline, dau. of Isaac House, of Ohio, and d. 1908, leaving issue,

Talcott T. BEATTY, b. 1853; m. 9 Oct. 1879, Olga Forester, dau. of Hugh Simpson Evans, of Ohio, and d. 1932, leaving issue,

1. Hugh Gibson, of whom we treat.

2. Ralph Evans (Tucson, Arizona), b. 16 May, 1893; m. 4 Nov. 1920, Doris M. McFadden (b. 12 May, 1896; d. 15 July, 1936), and has issue,

Jane BEATTY, b. 24 Sept. 1921

Nancy BEATTY, b. 9 June, 1925

1. Anna Marie (Columbus, Ohio), b. 2 April, 1888.

Arms-Sable a chevron between three goats passant argent attired of each charged with two pellets gules, on a chief of the

third a demi-woodman between two clauefoils holding in his right hand a club all of the fourth. Crest-A stork with a fish in his beak all proper.

Residence-390 Oak Street, Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A.
Clubs-Faculty; University; Columbus; Athletic; City."

.....

The above Burke's listing with Ray Beaty's comments in all caps and within brackets:

[**SUMMARY: THE 2ND GENERATION JOHN AND 3RD GENERATION WILLIAM APPEAR TO BE IN ERROR AS THEY DO NOT EXIST IN EITHER LINEAGE-001 OR LINEAGE-003. THEY WERE PREVIOUSLY NOTED IN LINEAGE-003 DUE TO BEING LISTED IN BURKE'S, BUT THEY WERE NEVER PROVEN.**]

Lineage-John BEATTY left Ayrshire, Scotland, and, travelling via Ireland and Holland, reached America and settled at Marbletown, Ulster Co., N. Y., ca. 1691. He m. Susannah Asfordby, by whom he had issue,

[THIS IS OUR LINEAGE-001. JOHN HAD TO HAVE SETTLED IN ULSTER BEFORE 1691, THE YEAR HE MARRIED SUSANNA AND WAS ALSO ELECTED A SHERIFF OF ULSTER]

John BEATTY, b. in Ireland ca. 1667-8; resided in Chester Co., Penna.; m. 1st, in Ireland, Susanna _____, who d. 24 July, 1719; 2ndly, Henrietta, who survived him. He d. 17 Mar. 1746, leaving a son,

[THIS JOHN IS UNKNOWN. THE BIRTHDATE LISTED PRECLUDES HIM FROM BEING A SON OF JOHN AND SUSANNA. ALSO THERE ARE NO RECORDS OF ANY LINEAGE-001 LIVING IN CHESTER CO PA. NO JOHN IN LINEAGE-001 HAS BEEN FOUND WITH A DEATH DATE IN 1746. THERE WAS A JOHN WHOSE WILL WAS PROVED JUNE 2, 1749 IN CECIL CO MD WHO (TURK 1909-CITED ELSEWHERE IN THIS DOCUMENT) ERRONEOUSLY LISTS AS JOHN, SON OF JOHN AND SUSANNA. THEIR JOHN'S ESTATE WAS ADMINISTERED IN 1761 IN FREDERICK CO MD. NO KNOWN L-001 BEATTYS OWNED PROPERTY IN CECIL COUNTY MD]

William BEATTY, b. ca 1695-96; m. ca 1728, Martha, dau. of William McCollock, And d. 1757.

[THE WILLIAM OF LINEAGE-001 WHO WAS BORN IN 1695 MARRIED MARY CARMACK AND MIGRATED TO FREDERICK CO MD AND LEFT A WILL THERE IN 1757. HE HAD NO SON GEORGE.]

[THE WILLIAM MENTIONED HERE IS PROBABLY THE WILLIAM BETTY MENTIONED IN THE 1749 CHESTER CO PA WILL OF MARTHA McCOLLOCK, Wife of William McCollock. HER DAUGHTER MARTHA (by first husband, a Stewart) IS MENTIONED IN HER WILL AS BEING MARRIED TO WILLIAM BETTY, HAVING CHILDREN WITH TWO NAMED GEORGE AND MARTHA, AND LIVING IN VA. NO WILLIAM BETTY WITH A WIFE MARTHA AND CHILDREN, GEORGE AND MARTHA, HAVE BEEN FOUND IN VIRGINIA RECORDS]

George BEATTY, who saw service in the French and Indian Wars, and the American Revolution, b. 1735; m. 1755, Ann Cullins, of Maryland, and d. 1810, leaving issue,

[THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION IS SUPPOSEDLY OF GEORGE BEATTY OF HAMPSHIRE COUNTY VA (NOW WV). MOST OF HIS CHILDREN INCLUDING

APPENDIX B

THE BEATTY PROJECT 2000 – LINEAGE 001

Descendants of John Beatty and Susanna Asfordby

Generations 1 - 3

Most of the following early generations of Lineage 001 have been proven with primary documentation – baptismal records, wills and estate administration papers, and land transaction records.

In addition to proving the existence of an individual, most of the following relationships have also been proven. Ray Beatty has on file the following documentation (June 2001):

BP – baptismal record, **M** – marriage record, **W** – will, **EA** – estate administration papers, **LT** – land transaction, **G** – govt document – census, tax list, military record, etc, **PT** – personal testimony – letter, diary, interview, **S** – only secondary source documentation (local regional history, newspaper/periodical articles, etc.)

- 1 **John Beatty** 1660 – 1720 (W, M, LT)
(a brother Thomas in Ireland from John's will)
(no primary documentation on John's ancestry)
- .. +**Susanna Asfordby** 1669 – 1745 (W, M, LT)
(Asfordby ancestry well documented)
(Following children in John and/or Susanna's wills)
- . 2 **Robert Beatty** 1692 – 1742 (Father/Mother Wills, M)
 - +Bata Middagh 1697 –
(children proved by BP and grandmother's will)
 - 3 **John Beatty** 1719 – 1785 (BP, M, LT)
 - +**Mary Brink** 1720 – 1769 (M)
 - *2nd Wife of John Beatty: Martha Pemberton
 - 3 **Maria (Marretjen) Beatty** 1720/21 – (BP, M)
 - +**Cornelius Brink** 1721/22 – 1791 (M, LT)
 - 3 **Susanna Beatty** 1723 – (BP, M)
 - +**Peter (Huff) Haff** 1732 – (M, G)
 - 3 **George "Joris" Beatty** 1732 – (BP, M, G, LT)
 - +**Annaatje (Ann) Brink** (sister of Cornelius
Brink who married Maria Beatty.
(M, LT)
 - 4 **Elsjen Beatty** 1752 – (BP)
 - 4 **Bata Beatty** 1754 – (BP)
 - 3 **Marga (Margret) Beatty** 1734 – (BP)
 - 3 **Jane/Jean Beatty** 1736 – 1812 (BP)
 - +**Abraham (Major) Haff** 1733/34 – 1812 (G, LT)
(brother of Peter Haff b.1732)
- . 2 **William Beatty** 1695 – 1757 (BP, W, LT)
 - +**Mary Carmack** 1718 - 1756
 - 3 **William (Col.)(Jr.) Beatty** 1738/39 – 1801
(father's will, own will, G, LT)
 - +**Mary Dorothea (Grosch) Grosh** 1738/39 - 1810
 - 3 **Eleanor Beatty** (father's will)
 - +**Jacob Young**
 - 3 **Mary Beatty** 1737 – (father's will)
 - +**Isaac (Elton) Elting** - 1757
 - *2nd Husband of Mary Beatty:
 - +**John Cary**
 - 3 **Ann Beatty** – 1757 (father's will)
 - +**Casper Shoaf**

APPENDIX C

THE BEATTY PROJECT 2000 – LINEAGE – 003

Descendants of George Beatty

The major problem we have had with Lineage 003 is that the early generations information has been only from secondary sources. Previous generations with William as the father of George and a John as the father of William were totally from Burke's 1939 listing and a couple of newspaper articles which repeated the Burke's information. Research since 1996 has proven the existence of George in Hampshire Co VA (now WV) and most of his children have been proven from documents concerning the settlement of George's estate. (See Appendix D)

Most of the individuals below have been proven by primary documentation of estate administration papers. The documentation on others is only from secondary sources.

EA = Estate administration papers

S = Secondary source only

- 1 **George Beatty** 1735 – 1811 (birthdate from secondary sources) (EA)
 .. +Ann (Cullens) Cullins - 1783 (marriage and death date from secondary sources)
 All children proven from the estate settlement papers of George except Levi who had preceded him in death and Henry. George was listed as heir-at-law of Levi's estate. Henry appears in Hampshire Co documents but nothing to prove him as a child. Secondary sources list him as a child. Due to destruction of Hampshire County records no marriage documents exist for the children, but the marriages have been proven from other documents. The missing "ninth" part of George's estate administration is either for Henry or an unknown child.
- 2 **Asha Beatty** – 1811 (EA)
 +Henry Hawk 1761 – 1811 (EA)
 Next generation documented but later ones unknown.
 No known living descendants.
- 2 **Bautty Beatty** – ca. before 1765 – 1817 (EA)
 +Noah Williams – 1817 (EA)
 Next generation needs further research.
 No known living descendants.
- 2 **Robert Beatty** (ca. 1755 –1760) – 1832 (EA)
 +Mary Polly Hoke (S)
 *2nd Wife of Robert Beatty:
 +Sarah Ross (EA)
 Spouse data needs further research.
 Descendants are well documented.
 Many known living descendants.
- 2 **John Beatty** (EA)
 +Lucy (EA)
 More research needed on next generation.
 Some well documented but questions remain.
 Some known living descendants.

- 2 **Levi (Levy) Beatty** 1755 – 1805 (EA)
 No known descendants have been discovered.
- 2 **Rebecca Jane (Jennie) Beatty** 1762 – 1840 (EA)
 +John Cullins – 1837 (EA)
 Descendants are well documented.
 No known living descendants.
- 2 **Henry Beatty** 1763 - 1832
 +Sarah Huffman 1765 – 1818 (EA)
 More research needed on next generation.
 Some well documented but questions remain.
- 2 **Charles Beatty** 1765 – 1850 (EA)
 +Eleanor Barkelow 1767 – 1826 (EA)
 Descendants are well documented.
- 2 **Mary Ann Beatty** 1772 – 1832 (EA)
 +Daniel Miller 1772 – 1850 (EA)
 Next generation not known.
- 2 **Isaac Beatty** 1780 – 1862 (EA)
 +Anastatia 1780 – 1864 (EA)
 More research needed on next generation.
 Some well documented but questions remain.

.....

APPENDIX D

PROOF DOCUMENTS FOR THE CHILDREN OF GEORGE BEATTY

A. Proof of "Bautty" as a daughter of George Beatty:

Discovered and researched by Donna Van Zandt.

Hampshire County, WVA Deeds
Microfilm # 0588710
Book 21 pg. 428

Williams to Naylor

This indenture made the tenth day of February in the year of our Lord 1817 between Noah Williams, Bantty (Bautty?) his wife of the county of Daviss in the state of Kentucky of the one part and William Naylor of the county of Hampshire and state of Virginia of the other part. Witnesseth that the said Noah Williams and Bautty his wife for and in consideration of the sum of seventy five dollars to them in hamd paid upon the sealing and delivery of these presents do grant, bargain and sell unto the said William Naylor his heirs & assigns all their undivided ninth share, part or shares of the lands of which George Beatty father of the said Bautty Williams died seized in__Ld.? In the said county of Hampshire. To have and to hold the said undivided Ninth part or share of said lands with its appurtenances unto the said William Naylor his heirs and assigns forever free from the claim or claims of said Noah Williams Beatty Williams and Bauthy? his wife and of all persons claiming, by through or under them. In witness whereof the said Noah Williams and Bautty his wife have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year just above written. But be it plainly understood that said Noah and Bautty are not accountable for Sd. Land should it be lost by a pa???? tittle written an they to refund the purchase money.

Noah hisXmark Williams {seal}
Bauthy herXmark Williams {seal}

Robt. Walley?
Joseph Hawk
Sam'l Hancock

State of Kentucky

We Samuel Hancock and Rumis? Griffith justices of the Peace in the said county of Davis in the state of Kentucky do hereby certify that Noah Williams and Bauthy Williams wife of the said Noah Williams parties to a certain deed for the conveyance of real estate to William Naylor bearing date the tenth of February 1817 and hereto annexed personally appeared before us in our county aforesaid and acknowledged this deed and the said Bautty being examined by us privily and apart from the husband and having the deed aforesaid fully explained to her she the said Bautty

Williams acknowledged the same to her act and deed and declared that she had willingly signed & sealed and delivered the same and that she wishes not to retract it. Under our hands and seals this 10th of February 1817.

Sam'l Hammock {seal}
Remus? Griffith {seal}

Kentucky, Davies County
March Term 1817

This indenture of conveyance from Noah Williams and Bauty his wife was ? in court and the same having been lawfully acknowledged by the said Noah Williams and for? His act and deed and it is ordered that the same? Together with the official certificate of Samuel Hancock and Remus? Griffith ?? acknowledgement of said Bauty was made at the grantees request ?? duly certified to the county court of Hampshire in the state of Virginia where the land lies for record. In testimony whereof and that the same together with the justices ??? thereon endorsed is duly ?? in my office. I have hereunto set my hand ?? Clerk of said county and ??? official seal to be affixed at the courthouse in Owensborough this 3rd of March 1817 & 27 year of the commonwealth.

At a court held for Hampshire County the 17th of April 1817. This indenture was presented in court and together with the proper certificates thereon endorsed admitted to record.

Teste:
John B. White Clk. C.



B. Proof of Robert and John as sons and Alsha as a daughter of George Beatty.

Discovered and researched by Helen Pilcher Hall.

Hawk to Hawk. Deed Bk 17 p. 263 FHL film #588709) This indenture made the 20th day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eleven between Henry Hawk Sen. and Alsha his wife, Robert Beatty and Sarah his wife John Beatty and Lucy his wife of the one part and Abraham Hawk of the other part. Whereas George Beatty late of the said County of Hampshire departed this life intestate leaving the said Robert Beatty, John Beatty and Alsha Hawk, wife of said Henry Hawk, his children with five others who are all heirs and legal representatives of said George Beatty each entitled to one equal undivided ninth part of the estate of the said George Beatty both real and personal. And whereas the said George Beatty died seized in fee of and in certain Lands in the said County of Hampshire on one tract or parcel of Which he resided at the time of decease, and other tracts of said Land lay contiguous thereto or not far distant therefrom. The said George Beatty also left at the time of his decease a certain personnal Estate consisting of Divers goods and chateles. Now this Indenture witnesseth that the said Henry HJawk Sen. and Alsha his wife, for and in consideration of the sum of one hundred dollars to them the

George Beatty late of said County of Hampshire departed this life siezed in fee of and on divers tracts of land situate in the said County of Hampshire leaving nine children of whom the said Jane Cullins is one and as such is entitled to and undivided ninth part of all the lands of which the said George Beatty died seized. Now this Indenture Witnesseth that the said John Cullins and Jane his wife for and in consideration of the sum of one hundred dollars to them in hand paid at or before the sealing ;and delivery of these presents the receipt ----- they do hereby acknowledge----granted bargained and sold, and by this --presents do grant, bargain, -----sale, alien, release and confirm, unto the said William Naylor all the undivided ninths --- --share to which they are entitled of and -- --- ----- which the said George Beatty died seized in the said County of Hampshire in right of said Land and so all her share -----to have and to hold the said-----with the premises and appurtenances ---the said William dNaylor his heirs and assigns forever to the only -----and behoof of the said William Naylor his heirs and assigns free? from the claim or claims of said John Cullins and Jane his wife. And the said John Cullins and Jane his wife the said Lands with the appurtenances unto the said William Naylor his heirs and assigns shall forever warrant and defend by these presents. In testimony whereof they have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year first above written.
 John Cullins Seal

Jane (x)Cullins Seal
 Hampshire County to ----
 We Henry Cookers and David Parsons Justices of the Peace for the said County do herby certif that Jane Cullins wife of John Cullins party to the within conveyance personally appeared before us and in our said County and being examined by us prively and apart from her said husband and having -----Deed fully explained to her she the said Jane Cullins acknowledged it to be her act and deed and declared that she had willingly signed sealed and delivered the same and that she wished not to retract it. Given under our hands and seals this 19th day of November in the year one thousand eight hundred and nineteen.
 Harry Cookers Seal
 David Parsons Seal

Hampshire County ____
 Be it remembered that on the 19th Day of Novemnber 1819 this Indenture was presented in the Office with the certificate thereon endorsed acknowledged by John Cullins one of the parties thereto and admitted to the record.
 Testo John B White C.R.



G. Document regarding Levi who preceded George in death.
 This document does not prove Levi as a son of George, but as “heir at law” meaning George would have been the closest living relative of Levi.

Researched by Helen Pilcher Hall.

"Monongalia County (West)Virginia Deed Book Records, 1784-1810" Old Series Volumes 1-4); Toothman, Rick, Heritage Books, Inc. Bowie, MD; 1994

(no date) George and [wife's name called for but blank] BEATY, heir at law of Levy BEATY deceased, late of Hampshire Co, to Nicholas Casey.

276 acres on Snowey Creek, adjoining a tract formerly belonging to Henry Hawk and property of Nicholas Casey. Patented to Levy Beaty, 20 March 1785. Consideration: [blank]. Signed: Geo Batty. Witnesses: Wm Buffington, Wm Nayler, Samuel Nuzun, and Woodrow. Acknowledged by George in Hampshire Co, 16 September 1805. Certificate of Andrew CHC. Del: to E Butler, 2 Oct 1807. Recorded: OS 3:354-356.

.....

6. A factor that has probably affected the records (or lack thereof) on George is that the period from the late 1750s (George last documented in Frederick Co MD) to 1782 (George first documented in Hampshire Co VA) was a period of great turmoil throughout the colonies and especially in western Virginia. The various Indian wars and the Revolution played havoc with Hampshire County. George Washington wrote at one point during the French and Indian War that Hampshire County was essentially deserted, the residents having been killed or having fled to the east. A another factor that possibly affected our family is the smallpox that ravaged the colonies in the 1770s-early 1780s. Some have indicated that where only about 30,000 were actually killed in the Revolutionary War, deaths from smallpox for the same period were 100,000.